REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF El Montevideo Tentative Parcel Map; TPM 20938, Log No. 05-08-012

May 2, 2007

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?				
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT	
Discussion:				
While the proposed project and off-site improvements are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program, the project site and locations of any off-site improvements do not contain habitats subject to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.				
<u>II. MSCP/BMO</u> - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?				
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT	
Discussion:				
The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.				
III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?				
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT	
Discussion:				

The project will obtain its water supply from the Sante Fe Irrigation District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any

groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Article IV, Sections 1 & 2) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Article IV, Section 3) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Steep Slope section (Article IV, Section 5)?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Article IV, Section 6) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Article IV, Section 7) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers: Even though wetlands and/or wetland buffer areas have been identified on the project, the project has been found to be consistent with Article IV of the Resource Protection Ordinance, due to the following reasons: a) the project will not place any non-permitted uses within wetlands; b) the project will not allow grading, filling, construction, or placement of structures within identified wetlands; and c) the project will not allow any non-permitted uses within wetland buffer areas.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe: The project site contains drainage swales. However, the project is not proposing to place structures, access roads or other improvements which will impede or redirect flood flows in these areas.

Steep Slopes: The average slope for the property is 14.83 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. The project is in conformance with the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats: Sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by Jarrett Ramaiya on May 18, 2005. However, the project will not complete any development, grading, grubbing, clearing, or any other activity that will damage the sensitive habitat lands. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Article IV, Item 6 of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites: The property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego certified archaeologist, Monica Guerrero in September 2005, and it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological or historical sites.

V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
\boxtimes		

Discussion:

The Storm Water Management Plan for this project, dated March 9, 2006, is complete and in compliance with the Watershed Protection Ordinance (WPO). The Report is adequate for CEQA Purposes.

VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
\boxtimes		

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.

ND05-07\0508012-ORDCHKLST;jcr